



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/683,939 | 10/10/2003 | Frank S. Maggio | 58368.105005 | 3891 |
| 67413 | 7550 | 09/08/2008 | | |
| PRASS LLP 2661 Riva Road Bldg. 1000, Suite 1044 ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401 | | | EXAMINER CHORNESKY, ADAM B | |
| | | | ART UNIT 3688 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 09/08/2008 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/683,939 | | Applicant(s) MAGGIO, FRANK S. | |
| | Examiner ADAM CHORNESKY | | Art Unit 3688 | |
| | | | | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ADAM CHORNESKY. (3) RONALD PRASS - Applicant Representative.
 (2) JAMES MYHRE. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 03 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: The handheld remote control device was demonstrated.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-78.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment to this application was discussed, and a focused demonstration of the hand-held remote control device was conducted. Questions were asked regarding the requirement for communication with two distinct networks, the broadcast network, and the Internet or equivalents. Examiner will review amendments when they are file and conduct a new search to determine need for new prior art or not.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--------|---|
| /A.C./ | /James W Myhre/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3688 |
|--------|---|